

EDITORIAL COMMENT

Realizing the Potential of PCSK9 Inhibition

A Novel Oral Macrocyclic Peptide on the Horizon*

M. John Chapman, BSc (Hons), PhD, DSc,^{a,b,c} Chris J. Packard, BSc (Hons), PhD, DSc^d



Current statistics for morbidity and mortality resulting from cardiovascular disease (CVD) make stark reading. In the United States alone, 1 person dies every 34 seconds from CVD with coronary heart disease accounting for more than one-half of all deaths. Total average annual direct and indirect health care costs were estimated at \$378.0 billion in 2017-2018.¹ Worldwide, about 19 million deaths were attributed to CVD in 2020, which is an increase of almost 20% from 2010.¹

A 2004 landmark study conducted across 52 countries determined that atherogenic apolipoprotein B (apoB)-containing lipoproteins account for a major proportion of the population-attributable risk for acute myocardial infarction.² Within this lipoprotein class, the main cholesterol-transporting particle, low-density lipoprotein (LDL), has been identified unequivocally as a principal causal factor in the pathophysiology of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD).³ More recently, genetic and epidemiologic studies have revealed that less abundant apoB-containing lipoproteins, notably lipoprotein (a)

(Lp(a), an LDL-like particle with an additional protein apolipoprotein (a)), and cholesterol-rich “remnant” particles generated by lipolysis of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins (TRLs) are also causal factors for ASCVD (Figure 1).⁴⁻⁶

This new, expanded landscape of lipoprotein-associated risk on the one hand emphasizes the complexity of hypercholesterolemia (which involves more than LDL), and on the other hand highlights the need for lipid-lowering agents that not only efficaciously reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), but also address the need to decrease plasma levels of Lp(a) and TRL remnant lipoproteins. Additionally, evidence-based guideline recommendations for management of hypercholesterolemia in patients in secondary prevention now mandate ever-lower goals for LDL-C to maximize reduction in cardiovascular events.⁷⁻⁹


SEE PAGE 1553

In this scenario, the entry of inhibitors of PCSK9 in 2015/2016 into clinical practice, in the form of monoclonal antibodies (MABs), was a most welcome and timely development.^{10,11} More recently, a small interfering RNA, inclisiran, has become available that, like the aforementioned MABs, is also administered as an “injectable.”¹² In contrast to evolocumab and alirocumab, inclisiran acts intracellularly in liver cells, to which it is specifically targeted, inducing degradation of the messenger RNA for PCSK9.¹² It is therefore of considerable interest that in this issue of the *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, Ballantyne et al^{13,14} present phase 2b studies of the efficacy and safety of MK-0616, a novel orally administered macrocyclic peptide inhibitor of PCSK9 that adds a further approach to regulating this target protein.

*Editorials published in the *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* or the American College of Cardiology.

From the ^aFaculty of Medicine, Sorbonne University, Paris, France; ^bCardiovascular Disease Prevention Unit, Pitié-Salpêtrière University Hospital, Paris, France; ^cNational Institute for Health and Medical Research (INSERM), Paris, France; and the ^dInstitute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom. The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the [Author Center](#).

FIGURE 1 PCSK9 Inhibitor-Mediated Reduction in Atherogenic apoB-Containing Lipoproteins



WHY IS PCSK9 AN EFFECTIVE TARGET FOR LIPID-LOWERING?

PCSK9, a 692-kDa protein abundantly expressed by hepatocytes, is a key regulator of circulating levels of LDL-C via its control of hepatic LDL receptor activity.^{10,11} Indeed, inhibition of PCSK9 offers a magnitude of reduction in LDL-C—routinely >50%—not seen with other agents, including most statins.¹¹ This effect is accompanied by substantial decreases in TRL remnants, an expected effect because these particles are also cleared by LDL receptors.^{5,6,15} The ancillary action of PCSK9 inhibitors on Lp(a) was not predicted

because the metabolism of this lipoprotein particle was thought to be independent of the LDL receptor pathway.^{4,11} Indeed, plasma concentrations of Lp(a) are not altered and may even be moderately increased by statin therapy.⁴ However, clinically relevant reductions of some 25%-30% are seen with MAB-based PCSK9 inhibition, and recent PCSK9 inhibitor trials have attributed a portion of the clinical benefit seen in ASCVD risk reduction to the lowering of Lp(a) as well as that of LDL-C.¹¹ At present we have no other means to address the risk linked to high Lp(a) levels. Thus, the therapeutic strategy of reducing Lp(a) even moderately with PCSK9 inhibitors has attraction until specific agents are approved for clinical use.

WHY HAS THE FULL POTENTIAL OF PCSK9 INHIBITION NOT BEEN REALIZED?

PCSK9 inhibitor therapy is effective and provides substantial LDL lowering in virtually all patient subgroups.¹¹ Furthermore, the safety profile of 5 years or more for both MABs, alirocumab and evolocumab, indicates that very low levels of LDL-C can be achieved and maintained with no apparent adverse effects.¹¹

Guidelines such as those from the European Society of Cardiology,⁷ and most recently from the American Diabetic Association⁸ recommend that in patients at highest risk, that is, those with established ASCVD, the LDL-C treatment goal should be <55 mg/dL. In most subjects, such goals cannot be achieved with statin monotherapy or even with the combination of statin plus ezetimibe, making it implicit that PCSK9 inhibitors should be widely used in the majority of patients undergoing secondary prevention. This has not been the case to date for reasons including cost, complex approval processes, and limits on which health care actors can initiate therapy. Some of the slow uptake may equally be attributed to the fact that currently available agents are injectables, which is a novel approach in cholesterol management where oral agents are the norm.

There is increasing recognition that combination lipid-lowering therapy needs to be instituted early in a patient's treatment plan if the new aggressive LDL-C goals are to be achieved. A recent position paper from the European Atherosclerosis Society set out a strategy in which the combination of statin plus ezetimibe or statin plus PCSK9 inhibitor is initiated as first-line therapy in patients at highest risk.¹⁶ It is possible to predict the LDL reduction achievable on most regimens—moderate-dose statin, high-dose statin, ezetimibe, PCSK9 inhibitor—and so with the

optimum goal in mind and knowing the patient's starting LDL-C, it is good practice to move quickly to the combination that will deliver a patient to goal first time. Such an approach also addresses the implementation gaps where patients, once discharged from a cardiology division, are passed to primary care where aggressive LDL-C lowering, especially with PCSK9 inhibitors, is unlikely to be initiated.

A NOVEL ORAL MACROCYCLIC PCSK9 INHIBITOR, MK-0616

Phase 1 studies of MK-0616 involving single doses showed rapid reduction in free-circulating PCSK9 levels (>90%).^{13,14} The present phase 2b randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical trial of the efficacy and safety of this orally administered macrocyclic peptide inhibitor of PCSK9 was performed over a dose range of 6 to 30 mg daily in a small cohort (n = 380) of hypercholesterolemic subjects exhibiting a wide range in CVD risk.¹³ Significantly, the placebo-adjusted reductions in LDL-C, apoB, and non-HDL-C over the 8-week study (with 8 weeks of follow-up) were ≈61%, ≈52%, and ≈56%, respectively, and they compare favorably to findings with anti-PCSK9 MABs and inclisiran.^{11,12} Adverse events occurred to a similar degree in subjects in both treatment and placebo arms (≈44%).¹³ Discontinuation caused by adverse events occurred in 2 or fewer participants in any treatment group. Although the effect of MK-0616 on Lp(a) was an exploratory endpoint, nonetheless mean Lp(a) reduction was 23% at the highest dose. The ability of this macrocyclic peptide to reduce Lp(a) is thus shared with MABs and is likely to be clinically relevant. Parameters of blood chemistry and hematology as well as biomarkers of

renal and liver function and glycemic control were similar across treatment arms, supporting the clinical safety profile of the drug.

POTENTIAL IMPLICATIONS FOR CLINICAL PRACTICE

Will the availability of an oral agent increase the likelihood that successful goal-orientated combination therapy be instituted early in the patient pathway, possibly by the cardiologist on discharge after an ASCVD event? This will depend on the drug maintaining an advantageous efficacy and safety profile, on issues involving product cost, and on willingness to adopt novel therapeutic strategies for effective lipid lowering.

A phase 3 cardiovascular outcome trial and longer-term safety data across a range of patients at risk for ASCVD will be key components of the profile of this new agent. The launch of a cost-effective oral drug such as this macrocyclic peptide, MK-0616, is eagerly awaited, because it may widen the strategic implementation of PCSK9 inhibitor therapy.

FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

Prof Chapman has received honoraria from Amgen, Amarin, Astra-Zeneca, Daiichi-Sankyo, Kowa, Lexicon, MSD, Regeneron, Sanofi, and Pfizer. Prof Packard has received honoraria from Amgen, Amarin, MSD, Dalcor, Novartis, and Daiichi-Sankyo.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Prof M. John Chapman, Cardiovascular Disease Prevention Unit, Pitié-Salpêtrière University Hospital, 83, Boulevard de l'Hôpital, 75651 Paris Cedex 13, France. E-mail: john.chapman@upmc.fr.

REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. About multiple cause of death, 1999-2020. Accessed February 20, 2023. <https://wonder.cdc.gov>
- Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, et al, INTERHEART Study Investigators. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. *Lancet*. 2004;364(9438):937-952.
- Ference BA, Ginsberg HN, Graham I, et al. Low-density lipoproteins cause atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. 1. Evidence from genetic, epidemiologic, and clinical studies. A consensus statement from the European Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Panel. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38(32):2459-2472.
- Kronenberg F, Mora S, Stroes ESG, et al. Lipoprotein(a) in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and aortic stenosis: a European Atherosclerosis Society consensus statement. *Eur Heart J*. 2022;43(39):3925-3946.
- Ginsberg HN, Packard CJ, Chapman MJ, et al. Triglyceride-rich lipoproteins and their remnants: metabolic insights, role in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, and emerging therapeutic strategies—a consensus statement from the European Atherosclerosis Society. *Eur Heart J*. 2021;42(47):4791-4806.
- Taskinen MR, Björnsen E, Kahri J, et al. Effects of evolocumab on the postprandial kinetics of apo (apolipoprotein) B100- and B48-containing lipoproteins in subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2021;41(2):962-975.
- Mach F, Baigent C, Catapano AL, et al, ESC Scientific Document Group. 2019 ESC/EAS guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41(1):111-188.
- ElSayed NA, Aleppo G, Aroda VR, et al. on behalf of the American Diabetes Association. Cardiovascular disease and risk management: standards of care in diabetes-2023. *Diabetes Care*. 2023;46(suppl 1):S158-S190.
- Packard C, Chapman MJ, Sibartie M, et al. Intensive low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering in cardiovascular disease prevention: opportunities and challenges. *Heart*. 2021;107(17):1369-1375.
- Seidah NG, Prat A. The multifaceted biology of PCSK9. *Endocr Rev*. 2022;43(3):558-582.

11. Schwartz GG, Giugliano RP. Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 inhibition after acute coronary syndrome or prior myocardial infarction. *Curr Opin Lipidol*. 2022;33(3):147–159.
12. Ray KK, Wright RS, Kallend D, et al. ORION-10 and ORION-11 Investigators. Two phase 3 trials of inclisiran in patients with elevated LDL cholesterol. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(16):1507–1519.
13. Ballantyne CM, Banka P, Mendez G, et al. Phase 2b randomized trial of the oral PCSK9 inhibitor MK-0616. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2023;81(16):1553–1564.
14. PCSK9 Forum. Oral PCSKP inhibitors: new horizons. Accessed March 10, 2023. <https://www.pcsk9forum.org/oral-pcsk9-inhibitors-new-horizons/>
15. Hollstein T, Vogt A, Grenkowitz T, et al. Treatment with PCSK9 inhibitors reduces atherogenic VLDL remnants in a real-world study. *Vascul Pharmacol*. 2019;116:8–15.
16. Ray KK, Reeskamp LF, Laufs U, et al. Combination lipid-lowering therapy as first-line strategy in very high-risk patients. *Eur Heart J*. 2022;43(8):830–833.

KEY WORDS atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, LDL cholesterol, lipoprotein(a), oral macrocyclic PCSK9 inhibitor, PCSK9, remnant lipoproteins, triglyceride-rich lipoproteins